Constraining feedback by satellite galaxies

岡本 🚊 (Durham・筑波)

CDM & galaxy formation



Benson+'03

Baryonic physics is a

Satellite problem



Simulated sub-halos

Moor+'99, Klypin+'99

Previous studies

LF of MW satellites



 Semi-analytic models suggested that the inclusion of the effects of reionization-heating, photo-heating, and SN feedback can solve the satellite problem.

owever

 These SA models overestimated the effects of a UV-background.



Okamoto, Gao, Theuns '08

<u>Cosmological</u> simulations

- 3 MW-candidate halos from the Aquarius project (Springel+' 08)
 - Aq-A, Aq-C, and Aq-D
- Resolution
 - Reference: $M_{\rm SPH} \sim 4 \times 10^5 {
 m M}_{\odot}$
 - High-resolution: $M_{
 m SPH}\sim 3.5 imes 10^4~{
 m M}_{\odot}$
- ISM
 - Standard
- $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \mbox{ Multiphase-model (Okamoto+' 08)} \\ & \mbox{ Harder EOS for star-forming gas: } P_{\min} \propto
 ho^{1.4} \end{array} \right\}$
 - Star formation is normalized to reproduce the Kennicutt relation

Phase diagrams

Standard

Multiphase



Phenomenological models for feedback

- Assuming 100% of the SN energy is converted to the kinetic energy of winds
- Two types of energy conserving winds
 - Wind models are characterised by the wind speed, v_w , and the mass loading factor, where $\dot{M}_w = \eta M_*$.

$$\begin{cases} v_w \propto \sigma \text{ and } \dot{M}_w = \left(\frac{\sigma}{\sigma_0}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \dot{M}_* \text{ (Similar to SA models)}\\ v_w = const. \text{ and } \eta = const. \text{ (a la Springel & Hernquis)} \end{cases}$$

Simulations

- 3 MW candidate halos
- WMAP1 cosmology
- Time dependent, spatially uniform UV-background (Haardt & Madau '01; z_{reion} = 9)
- Chemical evolution by Type II and Ia SNe and AGB stars
- Metallicity dependent cooling
- SF is normalized to reproduce the Kennicutt relation
- FB is modeled as winds

Models

Model	multiphase	V _w	$σ_0$ or η
5σ	No	5σ	σ_0 ~217 km/s
5σ, ism	Yes	5σ	σ_0 ~217 km/s
4σ, ism	Yes	4σ	σ_0 ~271 km/s
700 km s ⁻¹	Yes	700 km s ⁻¹	η ~ 2.4
600 km s ⁻¹	Yes	600 km s ⁻¹	η ~ 3.3

 η and σ_0 are computed by considering only contribution from SNeII. There is also contribution from SNeIa in the simulations.



Central galaxies

- Edge-on views of B-band surface brightness
- Z-axis is defined by angular momentum of stars within 0.05 Rvir.

50 h⁻¹ kpc



Morphology of the central galaxies

Orbital circularity (Jz/Jc(E)) of stars within Rvir on the disk plane (stars belonging to satellites are excluded).

z-axes are defined by the angular momentum of stars within 0.05 R_{vir}.



Star formation histories of the central galaxies

- Formation histories of stars within 0.1 R_{vir}. Stars belonging to the satellites are excluded.
- Simulations with and without the multiphase model are very similar.



Satellite luminosity functions

V-band luminosity functions Blue: local group satellites **Red** (and light blue): simulated satellites $v_w \propto \sigma \ (\eta \propto \sigma^{-2})$ is favored Larger mass loading is needed for smaller galaxies.



Metallicityluminosity relations [Fe/H] vs. Mv Blue: local group satellites Red (and green): simukatednsated Tites

is again favored.





Convergence tests

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Reference:} \\ M_{\rm SPH} \sim 4 \times 10^5 \ {\rm M}_\odot \\ \mbox{High-resolution:} \\ M_{\rm SPH} \sim 3.5 \times 10^4 \ {\rm M}_\odot \\ \mbox{Upper: SFHs of the central} \\ \mbox{galaxies} \end{array}$

Lower: V-band satellite luminosity functions

- dotted: Observational data for the bright satellites
- dot-dashed: Fit to the faint MW satellites by Koposov et al.
- Excellently converged!!

Conclusion

- CDM model can reproduce the observed properties of the MW satellites.
- $v_w \propto \sigma \ (\eta \propto \sigma^{-2})$ is preferred to the constant wind speed models v_w and η (constant)
 - Physics?
- Most of SN energy should be converted to the kinetic energy of winds.
 - Other energy sources?