理論懇シンポ (立教大学, 25/12/2006) 最近の遠方銀河観測による銀河形成の諸問題 Mass assembly / Down sizing / Dust extinction 歴史が動いている。 児玉 忠恭 (国立天文台、光赤外研究部)

"Panoramic View of Praha" (13/08/05)

Theoretical Views for Cluster/Galaxy Formation Cluster Formation (10¹⁵Mo) Galaxy Formation (10¹²Mo) 7 = 30 7 = 5





In the standard cosmological models (CDM), small scale objects collapse first and they assemble by gravity to form bigger and bigger systems with time.



Panoramic Views of Cluster Assembly Distribution of phot-z sliced galaxies (z = -0.05 - +0.03)

RXJ 0152.7-1357 (VRIz')

CL 0016+16 (BVRi'z')



Unsolved Issues on Galaxy Formation and Evolution from An Observer's Point of View When are the massive galaxies assembled? Is it consistent with hierarchical models? What is the origin of down-sizing? Is it consistent with the bottom-up picture?

What is the sampling bias in high-z galaxies ?
What is the effect of dust ?

RXJ0152.7-1357 (z=0.83), Subaru

• When are the massive galaxies assembled ? Is it consistent with hierarchical models ?



Formation of massive galaxies: late assembly?

Baugh et al. (2002)

Bekki & Chiba (2001)



Stellar mass function is expected to dramatically change with time in the hierarchical galaxy formation models. see also Kauffmann & Charlot (1993)

Stellar mass evolution at z<2

K20 (52 arcmin²)

UKIDSS-UDS (0.6 deg^2)



Pozzetti et al. (2003)



Cirasuolo et al. (2006)

No significant evolution at the massive-end of SMF out to z~1.5

Stellar mass evolution at z>2 Distant Red Galaxies (J-K>2.3; DRG) at 2<z<3

153 DRGs in GOODS-S





Vodel) 1012 (Two-Comp 011 [0] Stellar Mass 1010 DRG, no 24 μm \circ DRG, 24 μ m ★ X−ray DRG. HDF-N sample 10⁹ Redshift

Many of them have stellar masses greater than 10^11 Msun ! Host ~80% of stellar mass at 2<z<3.

Franx+ (03), van Dokkum+ (03; 04; 06), Foerster Schreiber+ (04)...

Stellar mass evolution at z>2



The spider-web galaxy (witnessing the assembly of a cD at z=2.16)

Radio Galaxy MRC 1138-262 - The Spiderweb Galaxy

HST - ACS/WFC



NASA, ESA, and G. Miley (Leiden Observatory)

Miley et al. (2006)

STScI-PRC06-45

Hierarchical models tend to underpredict massive galaxies even at z<1

Cimatti et al. (2006)





Obs vs Semi-analytic Model (De Lucia+ 06)

SDSS(z~0) vs. COMBO17(0.2<z<1) vs. DEEP2(0.3<z<1.1) vs. SXDS (z~1)

Recent models do a better job

Stellar mass density

Stellar mass function



GOODS-MUSIC ~8000 galaxies with Ks<23.5 (AB)

JHK selection of 2<z<3 galaxies



Classical criteria (DRG): J-K>2.3 passive/dusty gals at z>2 Our new criteria (JHK): (J-K)> 2(H-K)+0.5 && J-K>1.5 passive/dusty + star-forming gals at 2<z<3

Kajisawa et al. (2006), Kodama et al. (2006)

JHK selection of 2<z<3 galaxies





JHK diagram (0943@z=2.923)

USS0943 (z=2.923)

GOODS-S (blank field)



Excesses of both r-JHK and b-JHK are clearly seen (factor>2.5). Kodama et al. (2006)

Colour-Magnitude (1138@z=2.156)

PKS1138 (z=2.156)



Well-visible red sequence consistent with passive evolution formed at $z\sim4-5$. They are very massive (>10^11Msun) !

Colour-Magnitude (0943@z=2.923)

USS0943 (z=2.923)

GOODS-S (blank field)



What's the era of 2 < z < 3?

SCUBA sources peak at z~2.4

Cosmic SFR peaks at z~3



100 Msun/yr × 1 Gyr = 10^11 Msun

2-D Structure of PKS1138 (z=2.156)



2-D Structure of USS0943 (z=2.923)



A Post-Starburst Galaxy at z~6.5? Mobasher et al. (2005)





 $Mstar = 6 \times 10^{11} Msun \stackrel{13\sim18}{!} \underset{(<M^*>=2x10^{11}Msun)}{\text{Msun}}$

Stellar mass of galaxies at frontier redshifts

1-4 x 10^10 Msun

10^9 ~ 10^10 Msun



Break features require relatively old stars formed in the re-ionization era (zf~10).

Lack of re-ionization sources?



53 i'-band dropouts in GOODS. 10^9~10^10 Msun >1% of stellar mass in local Universe is locked in stars at $z \sim 6$.

Massive galaxies at $z \sim 6$ cannot sustain re-ionization \rightarrow Contribution from dwarfs! Yan et al. (2006)

 $\tau = 10 Myr$

100

10

Morphology first appeared between z=1 and 2?

z ~ 1 (8Gyr ago)

z ~ 2-3 (10~11Gyr ago) LBGs



4 x4 arcsec^2 squares Dickinson (2000), HDF-N



Large Disk Galaxies at 1.4<z<3 WFPC2(HST) + ISAAC (VLT) 102hr JHK imaging in HDFS



Re ~ 5--7.5 kpc !

Labbe et al. (2003)

Rotation of a distant disk galaxy <u>IFU(SINFONI, VLT) + AO \rightarrow 0.15" resolution (~1.2kpc@z=2.38)</u>



z=2.38, Ks=19.2, Mdyn=1.13*10^11Msun (Vc=230km/s), M*=7.7*10^10Msun, Re=4.5kpc , Mgas(Ha)=4.3*10^10Msun Genzel et al. (2006, Nature) See also Foerster-Schreiber et al. (2006)

Rotation of distant disk galaxies IFU(SINFONI, VLT), 0.5" seeing (~4kpc@z=2)



FIG. 5.— Two-dimensional H α velocity field of Q2343-BX610. The velocity field derived from the observed H α line emission is shown in colors, with a linear scaling increasing from purple to red. The superposed contours show the isovelocity map from the best-fit rotating disk model, labeled with values relative to the systemic velocity in units of km s⁻¹ (see § 4.3).



Foerster-Schreiber et al. (2006)

"Fast Formation of Massive Galaxies"

in all aspects: Star formation, Mass assembly, and Morphology.

What is the origin of down-sizing? Is it consistent with the bottom-up picture ?



Down-Sizing in Star FormationSDSS (z=0)SXDS (z=1, 1.2deg^2)



see also Bell et al. (2004) for COMBO-17 see also De Lucia et al. (2004) for EDisCS Massive galaxies are old, while less massive galaxies are younger or have more extended star formation: → "Down-sizing"!

Down-sizing seen in the FP to z~1

GOODS (141 field early-types)

CDFS/1252 (27 field early-types)



Treu et al. (2005) Less massive galaxies tend to have larger deviation in M/L ratio compared to local FP, suggesting their younger ages.

Down-sizing seen in chemical evolutionErb et al. (2006)Onodera (2005), PhD thesis



Down-sizing seen in Mg/Fe ratio



Lower Mg/Fe ratio towards smaller ellipticals suggesting longer timescale of star formation.

Thomas (2001) Ap&SS, 277, 209

Down-sizing in star formation as a function of Time

8,000 galaxies at 0.4<z<1.4 from DEEP2 Redshift Survey

0.40<z<0.70 4.3<t(Gyr)<6.3

0.75<z<1.00 6.5<t(Gyr)<7.7

1.00<z<1.40 7.7<t(Gyr)<**8.4**



The critical mass that separate red/blue pops shifts to lower mass as time progresses!

Bundy et al. (2006)

Down-sizing as a function of Environment!

red/blue galaxies







Tanaka, TK, et al. (2005)

Star Formation Histories of Galaxies vs. Mass and Environment



Thomas et al. (2005)

high-mass/high-density → low-mass/low-density

Interpretation of Down-SizingMillennium simulation (N-body) + Munich semi-analytic modelStar formationMass assembly



Massive (E) galaxies form stars earlier (intrinsic bias), but are assembled later than less massive galaxies. De Lucia et al. (2006)

"Slow/Delayed Formation of Low Mass Galaxies and in Low Density Regions"

How can we **extend** star formation in such small systems where SN feedback can easily expel the gas? Extremely low SF efficiency? Gas fall back? Interaction with host galaxies?

What is the sampling bias in high-z galaxies? What is the effects of dust ?





Evolutionary state of each class of galaxies on a stellar-mass limited sample!

SSFR ~ b (birth parameter) ~ f_gas (Schmidt law) = "evolutionary state"

Star formation is largely hidden in optical surveys!

Bouwens et al. (2005)

Reddy et al. (2006)



Uncertainty in cosmic star formation history is dominated by correction for dust extinction.



Even if you correct for extinction using UV spectral index, you still tend to under-estimate SFR for dusty galaxies.

Improvement in estimates of stellar mass and photometric redshift with Spitzer bands



Fontana et al. (2006)

Dramatic improvement in stellar mass estimates at z>2.

Model dependence in Mstars



TP-AGBs have significant contribution at rest-frame NIR at ~1Gyr. Maraston et al. (2006) →

 ion BC03 model overestimates stellar mass by factor 1.6 compared to M05.
 → CB07 models have now included TP-AGB.

24 micron flux measures dusty SFR at z~2

SFR of 69 MIPS detected DRGs



Webb et al. (2006)

24 μ m samples P A H dust features (6.2-8.6um) from z~2 galaxies, which are good measures of dusty star formation rate (Chary & Elbaz 2001). SFR=30~1000 Msun/yr (average 130Msun/yr). DRG contribute 20% of SFRD at z~2.

Discrimination between Passive/Dusty/AGN



Ultimate diagram to quantify galaxy evolution SSFR=SFR/M* versus M*



Reddy et al. (2006)

Papovich et al. (2006)

See also Erb et al. (2006) for H based SSFR vs M*.

~ From "zoo" to "science museum" ~

